maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	lection of information is estimated to ompleting and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding ar DMB control number.	ion of information. Send comments arters Services, Directorate for Info	regarding this burden estimate or rmation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the property of the contract of the con	nis collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington	
		2. REPORT TYPE Annual		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2008 to 00-00-2008		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	5a. CONTRACT NUMBER					
Fish Acoustics Scie		5b. GRANT NUMBER				
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
				5e. TASK NUMBER		
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Applied Ocean Physics and Engineering Department, Bigelow 201, MS #11, Woods Hole, MA, 02543				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAIL Approved for publ	LABILITY STATEMENT ic release; distributi	on unlimited				
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO code 1 only	DTES					
	l exploit acoustic rev The focus will be on	-		_		
15. SUBJECT TERMS						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC	17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF			
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	OF PAGES 2	RESPONSIBLE PERSON	

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Fish Acoustics Science Review

Timothy K. Stanton
Applied Ocean Physics and Engineering Department
Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution
Bigelow 201, MS #11
Woods Hole, MA 02543

phone: (508) 289-2757 fax: (508) 457-2194 email: tstanton@whoi.edu

Award Number: N00014-07-1-1019 http://www.whoi.edu/people/tstanton

LONG-TERM GOALS

To understand and exploit acoustic reverberation by and transmission through fish and related acoustic signal processing. The focus will be on swimbladder-bearing fish with resonance frequencies in the 100's of Hz to low kHz.

OBJECTIVES

To assess the state of the art and to make recommendations for future directions in the area of fish acoustics.

APPROACH

A two-day review entitled "Fish Acoustics Science Review" was conducted involving scientists spanning the areas of acoustics, biology, and signal processing. The review began with a plenary meeting with each scientist presenting the state-of-the-art in their own area. Then the group broke up into several working groups in order to focus on pre-defined aspects of the field. At the end of the meeting, working group leaders presented their findings and plenary discussion and conclusions took place.

WORK COMPLETED

- 1. Meeting time and location. The meeting was conducted on Nov. 1 and 2, 2007 in Plymouth, Massachusetts. Guest rooms, conference rooms, and a restaurant in a local hotel were used.
- **2.** *Participants*. Twenty four scientists spanning acoustics, biology, and signal processing participated in the meeting. Also, there was at least one ONR program manager from each of the areas: Biology, Ocean Acoustics, and Undersea Signal Processing.
- **3.** *Scientific presentations*. Subject material of the scientific presentations were organized according to the following areas:
 - a. Fish behavior
 - b. Large scale acoustic detection of fish (waveguide acoustics)
 - c. Small-to-medium scale imaging techniques

- d. Swimbladder physiology and associated acoustic scattering modeling
- e. Resonance classification of swimbladder-bearing fish
- f. Signal processing

4. Working group meetings and presentations of their results. The topics of the meetings/presentations were organized according to the following areas:

- a. Modeling/algorithm development
- b. Advanced technology
- c. Experiment/data analysis
- d. DRI plans (three working groups total)

All 24 participants were initially involved with a-c above, then they were reorganized into three new working groups to formulate and present a plan (a "mock" presentation as if from the view of ONR) for a new DRI in fish acoustics.

RESULTS

In order to allow ideas to be freely exchanged, it was agreed from the beginning that details of the discussions would not be distributed outside the group. However, it is safe to say in broad terms that there was a significant synergy in the two-day meeting both within and between disciplines. With the focus being on the intersection of the three areas—biology, acoustics, and signal processing - there was much "cross-over" of information from one discipline to another. New ideas and potential solutions to those ideas were identified. Also, new potential collaborations were also identified. It was clear from the conclusions that this field has made significant advances and is well positioned for new ones. This was especially apparent in the mock presentations of ONR DRI's in which a new five-year research program was proposed by each of the three working groups.

IMPACT/APPLICATIONS

New directions for the field and potential solutions were identified as a result of this meeting. There is potential for these new directions to be realized through relationships and potential collaborations formed in this meeting.